

## ORIGINAL RECEIVED

## Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

JUL 2 9 2003

)	FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
)	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
)	
)	MM Docket No. 00-148
)	RM-9939
)	RM-10198
)	
Ĵ	
)	
)	
j .	
)	

To. Chief, Audio Division Media Bureau

## **REPLY TO OPPOSITION**

Rawhide Radio, LLC, Capstar TX Limited Partnership, and Clear Channel Broadcasting Licenses, Inc (collectively "Joint Petitioners"), by their counsel, and pursuant to Section 1.429 of the Commission's Rules, hereby reply to the opposition filed by Texas Grace Communications ("Texas Grace") to its Petition for Partial Reconsideration in the above-captioned proceeding. As will be shown, Texas Grace bases its opposition on incorrect factual assumptions

1. First, Texas Grace assumes that the Joint Petitioners intend to move Station KLAK(FM), Durant, Oklahoma into the Dallas market. This is not correct. The Petition for Partial Reconsideration involves only a *portion* of the original Counterproposal, and does not involve KLAK in any way. Because the Petition for Partial Reconsideration does not involve

in in the City

24975 PDCK

The Petition for Partial Reconsideration was filed on June 16, 2003. Notice of the filing appeared in the Public Notice of July 7, 2003 (Report No. 2616) and was published in the Federal Register on July 14, 2003. Oppositions are currently due on July 29, 2003 and replies are due on August 8, 2003. Two other oppositions were also filed early. The Joint Petitioners intend to respond to all other oppositions by the reply date.

KLAK, it also does not involve KRZB in any way. It does not involve the KRZB Channel 248C2 construction permit (BMPH-19990217IB), nor does it involve the KRZB Channel 248C1 allotment. It has nothing whatsoever to do with any plans Texas Grace may have for Station KRZB—at least, not any plans that Texas Grace has made public

- 2. Nevertheless, if Texas Grace believes that a future KLAK relocation filing could somehow have an impact on KRZB, that belief can be put to rest. On May 23, 2003, KLAK filed a separate petition to relocate the station to Whitewright, Texas. That proposal involves no other stations and has no intended impact on Station KRZB's Class C2 permit or Class C1 allotment.
- 3. Second, Texas Grace argues that the Joint Petitioners' Counterproposal, filed on October 10, 2000, should have protected the construction permit issued to Texas Grace for Channel 248C2 at Archer City, Texas, instead of the Channel 248C1 allotment that is currently listed in the FM Table of Allotments for KRZB.<sup>2</sup> However, contrary to Texas Grace's belief, the grant of the permit did not automatically cause the deletion of Channel 248C1 from Archer City. See Revision of 73 3573(a)(1) of the Commission's Rules Concerning the Lower Classification of an FM Allotment, 4 FCC Red 2413, 2414-15 at para. 14 (1989) and Winslow, Camp Verde, Mayer and Sun City West, Arizona, MO&O, 16 FCC Red 9551 (2001). The Joint Parties were certainly required to protect the Class C1 allotment, and indeed, the Commission dismissed the Counterproposal specifically because it failed to protect the Class C1 allotment, not because of any problem with respect to the Class C2 permit. Report and Order, 18 FCC Red 9495 (2003). The Joint Parties did not contest that aspect of the dismissal.

That channel was requested by Texas Grace and granted on December 31, 1999

- The Commission recently reconfirmed that the Archer City Class C1 allotment is still entitled to protection by issuing a rule making proposal to delete Channel 248C1 from Archer City in view of the lack of interest from Texas Grace in applying for the Class C1 channel <sup>3</sup> In the Commission's view, as long as Channel 248C1 remained in the Table of Allotments, Texas Grace could have applied for the Class C1 channel, even during the pendency of MM Docket No 00-148. The allotment remains valid unless and until its deletion becomes final, and until that time it must be protected. See Winslow, Arizona, et al., supra
- As discussed above, the Petition for Partial Reconsideration has no impact whatsoever on Station KRZB. Because there is no impact on Station KRZB, there is absolutely no reason for Texas Grace to have filed its attack on the Petition for Partial Reconsideration. There is no reason for Texas Grace to be involved in this proceeding at all Texas Grace is not affected by the outcome of the Petition for Partial Reconsideration one way or the other Moreover, there is no reason for Texas Grace to have singled out *one* of the co-counsel to *one* of the Joint Parties for a personal attack. Those allegations are reckless and without any basis in fact, and need not be dignified with a response.

<sup>18</sup> FCC Rcd 9498 (2003) On July 25, 2003 (DA 03-2468), the Commission released its *Report and Order* deleting Channel 248C1 and allotting Channel 248C2 to Archer City, Texas

For the foregoing reasons, the Commission should reject the arguments set forth in the opposition of Texas Grace to the Petition for Partial Reconsideration.

By:

Respectfully submitted,

LICENSES, INC.

Their Counsel

CAPSTAR TX LIMITED PARTNERSHIP CLEAR CHANNEL BROADCASTING

Wiley Rein & Fielding LLP

Gregory L. Masters

1776 K Street, NW

(202) 719-7370

Washington, DC 20006

RAWHIDE RADIO, LLC

Ву

Mark N. Lipp

J. Thomas Nolan

Vinson & Elkins, LLP

1455 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20004

(202) 639-6500

Its Co-Counsel

Ву

Lawrence N. Cohn

Cohn and Marks 1920 N Street, N.W

Suite 300

Washington, D.C. 20036-1622

(202) 452-4817

Its Co-Counsel

July 29, 2003

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Lisa Balzer, a secretary in the law firm of Vinson & Elkins, do hereby certify that on this 29th day of July, 2003, I caused copies of the foregoing "Reply to Opposition" to be mailed, first class postage prepaid, or hand delivered, addressed to the following persons:

\*Robert Hayne, Esq.
Federal Communications Commission
Media Bureau
Audio Division
445 12th Street, S.W.
Room 3-A262
Washington, D.C. 20554

Matthew L. Leibowitz, Esq.
Joseph A. Belisle, Esq.
Leibowitz & Associates, P.A.
One Southeast Third Avenue
Suite 1450
Miami, FL 33131-1715
(Counsel to Next Media Licensing, Inc.)

Maurice Salsa 5615 Evergreen Valley Drive Kingwood, TX 77345

Dan J Alpert, Esq. Law Office of Dan J Alpert 2120 North 21st Road Suite 400 Arlington, VA 22201 (Counsel to M&M Broadcasters, Ltd.)

Gene A. Bechtel, Esq.
Law Office of Gene Bechtel, P.C.
1050 17th Street, N.W
Suite 600
Washington, D C. 20036
(Counsel to Elgin FM Limited Partnership and
Charles Crawford)

Robert Lewis Thompson, Esq.
Thiemann, Aitken & Vohra, L.L.C
908 King Street, Suite 300
Alexandria, VA 22314
(Counsel to AM & PM Broadcasting, L L.C.)

Jeffrey D Southmayd, Esq Southmayd & Miller 1220 19th Street, N.W. Suite 400 Washington, D C. 20036 (Counsel to The Sister Sherry Lynn Foundation, Inc.)

Texas Grace Communications c/o Dave Garey P.O. Box 8481 Gulfport, Mississippi 39506-8481

Arthur V. Belendiuk, Esq. Smithwick & Belendiuk, P.C. 5028 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W. Suite 301 Washington, D.C. 20016 (Counsel to Dilley Broadcasters)

Stargazer Broadcasting, Inc c/o David P Garland 1110 Hackney Houston, TX 77023

BK Radio c/o Bryan King 1809 Lightsey Road Austin, TX 78704

Katherine Pyeatt 6655 Aintree Circle Dallas, TX 75214

Lisa M. Balzer

<sup>\*</sup> Hand Delivered